



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_6428
Title of the Manuscript:	Quantitative Analysis of Fish Consumption in Rivers State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Some sentence forms should be corrected because of inversions and missing words. There should be some word, punctuation, conjunction corrections, additions and changes. For example: Line 35 – “suggestion of the fact that?” Line 9 and line 146- “the demand of fish was relatively price and income...” line 92- “who found a negative...”- is there a wrong relative clause? Line 113- usage of the fact that Line 114- with that of Line 122- word order	Line 35 suggestion Line 9 and 146 of Line 92 deleted who found..... Line 113 indicates Line 114 consistent with Line 122 which shows.... These corrections have been effected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	An informative study on the determinants for fish consumption. Thank you.	